## Case 2:20-cr-00213-KJM Document 204 Filed 01/19/23 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney SAM STEFANKI FRANK RIEBLI Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-00213-KJM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING JURY TRIAL AND EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER	
13	v.		
14	HALONER SAINTILLUS,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	STIPULATION		
	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant Chaloner		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by a	nd through its counsel of record, and defendant Chaloner	
	Plaintiff United States of America, by a Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record		
19	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record		
19 20	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w	, hereby stipulate as follows:	
19 20 21	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order,	
19 20 21 22	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w a trial confirmation hearing and a hearing on pe	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order, ending motions <i>in limine</i> and on the defendant's motion	
19 20 21 22 23	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w a trial confirmation hearing and a hearing on pe to suppress were also set for January 23, 2023.  2. By this stipulation, the defendant	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order, ending motions <i>in limine</i> and on the defendant's motion	
19   20   21   22   23   24	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w a trial confirmation hearing and a hearing on pe to suppress were also set for January 23, 2023.  2. By this stipulation, the defendant	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order, ending motions <i>in limine</i> and on the defendant's motion to the now moves to:	
19   220   221   222   223   224   225	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter was a trial confirmation hearing and a hearing on pertosuppress were also set for January 23, 2023.  2. By this stipulation, the defendant a) Vacate the trial confirmation January 23, 2023;	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order, ending motions <i>in limine</i> and on the defendant's motion to the now moves to:	
118   119   120   120   121   122   122   123   124   125   126   127	Saintillus, by and through his counsel of record  1. By previous order, this matter w a trial confirmation hearing and a hearing on pe to suppress were also set for January 23, 2023.  2. By this stipulation, the defendant a) Vacate the trial confirmation January 23, 2023; b) Vacate the jury trial curr	, hereby stipulate as follows: as set for trial on February 14, 2023. By previous order, ending motions <i>in limine</i> and on the defendant's motion to now moves to: tion hearing and the motions hearing currently set for	

e) Exclude time between January 23 and April 25, 2023, under Local Code T4.

- 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a) Counsel for the defendant is set for trial in early March in a complex tax fraud case that dates to 2019, and that is expected to last approximately three weeks. The early March trial date in this tax fraud matter was set in June 2022. Counsel for the defendant believes that he will not have sufficient time and resources to prepare for the February trial in the instant case if he must simultaneously prepare for a complex tax fraud trial set to begin in March.
- b) Furthermore, until recently, Saintillus was in custody at the Sacramento County Main Jail. However, Saintillus was recently moved to a custody location in Yuba County, which makes trial preparation and other communications between defense counsel and his client less efficient and more time-consuming.
- c) Hence, counsel for the defendant requires additional time to consult with his remotely located client, conduct investigation and research related to the current charges, review discovery, and otherwise prepare for trial in this matter while balancing his professional obligations to prepare for trial in the above-referenced tax fraud matter.
- d) Following the most recent hearing in this case on January 9, 2023, the government learned that one of its critical witnesses—an undercover law enforcement officer who conducted five of the twelve undercover narcotics purchases charged in the superseding indictment—has a pre-paid personal engagement planned overseas between February 13 and February 20, 2023. At the most recent hearing in this case, counsel for the government proceeded under the erroneous impression that this government witness's travel during these dates was work-related in nature and could be easily re-scheduled.
- e) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Based on his trial schedule in early 2023, counsel for the defendant also believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel.
  - f) The government does not object to the continuance.

## Case 2:20-cr-00213-KJM Document 204 Filed 01/19/23 Page 3 of 4

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	§ 3
12	Coı
13	18
14	
15	pro
16	wit
17	
18	
19	
20	Da
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Da
27	
28	

- g) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 23, 2023, to April 25, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Additionally, for the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 23, 2023, to the date the Court rules on the government's and the defendant's pending motions, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D) [Local Code E].
- 5. Nothing in this stipulation and proposed order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 18, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ SAM STEFANKI
SAM STEFANKI
FRANK RIEBLI
Assistant United States Attorneys

Dated: January 18, 2023 /s/ DAVID D. FISCHER

DAVID D. FISCHER Counsel for Defendant CHALONER SAINTILLUS

## Case 2:20-cr-00213-KJM Document 204 Filed 01/19/23 Page 4 of 4

## [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2023. THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY J. MUELLER CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE